## Nucleic Acid Binding Drugs. IX. The Structures of 5-*n*-Butyl-11-demethylellipticine, $C_{19}H_{18}N_2$ , and 9-Methoxyellipticine, $C_{18}H_{16}N_2O$

BY A. AGGARWAL AND S. NEIDLE\*

Cancer Research Campaign Biomolecular Structure Research Group, Department of Biophysics, King's College, 26–29 Drury Lane, London WC2B 5RL, England

#### AND M. SAINSBURY

School of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, England

(Received 7 December 1982; accepted 21 January 1983)

Abstract. (I)  $C_{19}H_{18}N_2$  (5-*n*-butyl-6*H*-pyrido[4,3-b]carbazole),  $M_r = 274 \cdot 37$ ,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 5.023 (3), b =17.848 (5), c = 16.454 (5) Å,  $\beta = 93.67$  (2)°, V =1472.1 (11) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_m = 1.24$ ,  $D_x = 1.238$ Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Cu Ka) = 1.54178 Å,  $\mu$  = 0.528 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 584, R = 0.068 for 815 unique significant reflections measured at 298 K. (II) C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O (5,11dimethyl-9-methoxy-6*H*-pyrido[4,3-*b*]carbazole),  $M_r =$ 276.34,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 6.508 (1), b = 24.281 (8), c =8.938 (2) Å,  $\beta = 98.46$  (2)°, V = 1397.0 (10) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z =4,  $D_m = 1.33$ ,  $D_x = 1.314$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda(Cu K\alpha) =$ 1.54178 Å,  $\mu = 0.616$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 584, R = 0.052for 1736 unique significant reflections measured at 298 K. The dimensions of the ring systems in the two compounds are similar to one another and to those found in ellipticine itself [Courseille, Busetta & Hospital (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2628–2631].

Introduction. Ellipticine is a plant alkaloid which shows pronounced anti-tumour activity. There is considerable evidence that this activity is related to the DNA-binding properties of this planar aromatic system (Gale, Cundliffe, Reynolds, Richmond & Waring, 1981; Neidle, 1979), and several studies of rational design of analogues with enhanced activity have been based on this postulate (Le Pecq, Xuong, Gosse & Paoletti, 1974; Paoletti, Cros, Xuong, Lecointe & Moisand, 1979). The crystal-structure analysis of an ellipticine– dinucleoside complex (Jain, Bhandary & Sobell, 1979) has demonstrated that the parent drug can indeed intercalate in between adjacent base pairs, at least in this model nucleic acid system.

One of us has recently developed efficient synthetic routes to various ellipticine derivatives, including the title compounds (Sainsbury, Weerasinghe & Dolman, 1982). The present study is the first in a series directed at describing the molecular characteristics of these analogues, with special reference to differences in interactions with DNA.

© 1983 International Union of Crystallography

A preliminary report of an X-ray analysis of 9-methoxyellipticine has recently appeared (Bandyopadhyay & Basak, 1981), based on photographic intensity data. No details of structural parameters arising from this study have been published, so the present accurate analysis is the first to describe this structure fully.

Experimental. Crystals of both compounds were obtained from ethanol solution as light-yellow prisms; (I) could only be produced as very small crystals. Densities measured by flotation; X-ray photographs taken to determine crystal class; accurate cell dimensions obtained by least-squares analysis of 25  $\theta$  values measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer; intensity data collected with graphite-monochromated Cu Ka radiation,  $\theta - 2\theta$  scan;  $\theta_{max} = 55^{\circ}$  ( $-5 \le h \le 5$ ,  $0 \le k \le 18$ ,  $0 \le l \le 17$ ) for (I), and  $\theta_{max} = 65^{\circ}$  $(0 \le h \le 7, 0 \le k \le 28, -10 \le l \le 10)$  for (II). Crystal (I) was  $\sim 0.04 \times 0.09 \times 0.03$  mm and (II),  $\sim 0.04 \times$  $0.20 \times 0.12$  mm. The intensities of three standard reflections were monitored at intervals of 3600 s; in neither case was any crystal decomposition noted. 2012 unique reflections for (I); 815 with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  were used for the refinement. The high number of unobserved reflections reflects the small size of crystal used. 2645 unique reflections for (II), 1736 with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . Direct methods [MULTAN80 (Main et al., 1980)], refinement on F by full-matrix least squares with anisotropic thermal parameters. Some H-atom positions for (I) were found from difference Fourier syntheses, and positions for the remainder were calculated from geometric considerations. All H-atom standard parameters for (I) were kept fixed during the course of the refinement. Final difference Fourier maps for (I) and (II) showed no peaks >0.15 e Å<sup>-3</sup>. Unit weights for (I), final R = 0.068, maximum shift/error 0.01. For (II)  $w = 1/[\sigma(I)^2 + (0.03I)^2]^{1/2}$ , final R = 0.052,  $R_w =$ 0.060, maximum shift/error 0.07. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); all calculations performed on a PDP

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

0.004 Å.

11/34A computer using the SDP program system (Frenz, 1980).

Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for the non-hydrogen atoms, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

## **Discussion.** Final atomic parameters are in Table 1.\*

Figs. 1 and 2 show views of the molecular structures of (I) and (II), and Table 2 details the bond lengths and angles in these structures. Comparison of the observed bond lengths in the two compounds with each other, and with those found in a structure analysis of ellipticine itself (Courseille, Busetta & Hospital, 1974) (Table 2), reveals virtually no significant differences in

\* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters for the heavier atoms, and H-atom coordinates and isotropic temperature factors have been deposited with the Britsh Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 38340 (17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

# Table 1. Positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $(\mathring{A}^2)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$$B_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3}(B_{11} + B_{22} + B_{33}).$$

Compound (I)

	x	У	Ζ	$B_{eq}$
N(2)	0.502(1)	0.3185 (3)	1.0083 (3)	5.2 (2)
N(6)	0-360(1)	0.1399 (3)	0.6716 (3)	3.9 (1)
CÌÌ	0.356 (1)	0.2613 (5)	0.9794 (4)	4.5 (3)
CÌÌ	0.677 (2)	0.3477 (4)	0.9577 (5)	5.0 (2)
C(4)	0.705(1)	0.3215(4)	0.8797 (4)	4.3 (2)
$\mathbf{C}(5)$	0.563(1)	0.2334(4)	0.7679(4)	3.6(2)
C(7)	0.071(2)	0.0362(4)	0.6145(4)	4.6(2)
C(8)	-0.128(2)	-0.0132(4)	0.6324(5)	5.2(2)
C(9)	-0.228(2)	-0.0141(5)	0.7103(5)	$5 \cdot 5 (2)$
C(10)	-0.131(2)	0.0342(4)	0.7706(5)	4.9(2)
cún	0.198(1)	0.1698(4)	0.8766(4)	4.0(2)
C(12)	0.362(1)	0.2301(4)	0.8999(4)	3.8(2)
C(13)	0.549(1)	0.2616(4)	0.8474(4)	3.9(2)
C(14)	0.394(1)	0.1767(4)	0.7456(4)	3.4(2)
C(15)	0.213(1)	0.1424(4)	0.7991(4)	3.2(2)
C(16)	0.067 (1)	0.0849(4)	0.7536(4)	$3 \cdot 8 (2)$
C(17)	0.165(1)	0.0846(4)	0.6748(4)	3.7(2)
C(18)	0.754(1)	0.2654(4)	0.7088(4)	3.7(2)
C(19)	0.640(1)	0.3352(4)	0.6658(4)	4.2(2)
C(20)	0.815(1)	0.3643(5)	0.6002(4)	4.7(2)
C(21)	0.695(2)	0.4331(5)	0.5563(5)	6.3(2)
- ( )	(		(-)	00(1)
Compo	und (II)			
•			_	D
0(20)	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	Z	Deq
O(20)	0.4381(3)	1.05157 (7)	0.4/513(2)	6.47 (5)
N(2)	0.0323(3)	0.70140(9)	1.0382(2)	4.4/(5)
N(0)	0.0807(3)	0.83331(9)	0.7234(2)	3.89 (4)
C(1)	0.0713(4)	0.7532(1)	1.0358(3)	4.12 (6)
C(3)	0.1337(4)	0.0044(1)	0.9984(3)	4.40 (0)
C(4)	0.3108(4)	0.0/8/(1)	0.9191(3)	3.99 (6)
C(3)	0.3179(4)	0.7317(1)	0.8137(2)	5.40 (5)
C(n)	0.7360(4)	0.9340(1)	0.0011(3)	5.02(0)
C(0)	0.0000(3)	0.9803(1)	0.0728(3)	3.44 (7)
C(9)	0.4973(4)	0.9972(1)	0.7493(3)	4.73(0)
C(10)	0.2545(4)	0.9331(1)	0.0150(3)	3 58 (5)
C(12)	0.2343(4)	0.0314(1) 0.7745(1)	0.9303(2)	3.55 (5)
C(12)	0.2273(4) 0.3540(4)	0.7743(1)	0.9350(2)	$3 \cdot 55 (5)$
C(13)	0.5302(4)	0.8076(1)	0.7975(2)	3 36 (5)
C(15)	0.4086(4)	0.8480(1)	0.8539(2)	3.38 (5)
C(16)	0.4734(4)	0.9012(1)	0.8040(3)	3.65 (5)
C(17)	0.6407(4)	0.8911(1)	0.7247(3)	3.85 (5)
C(18)	0.1246(4)	0.8740(1)	1.0042(3)	4.96 (6)
C(19)	0.6552(4)	0.7119(1)	0.7509 (3)	4.56 (6)
cizi	0.2468 (5)	1.0627 (1)	0.8073(4)	7.24 (9)

					Ellip-
		(I)		(II)	ticine*
C(1)-N(2)	1.	327 (7	) 1	305 (4)	1.313
C(1) - C(12)	1.	424 (7	() 1·	422 (3)	1.424
N(2) = C(3)	1.	320 ( <i>1</i> 290 (9	() I () I	361 (4)	1.301
C(3) = C(4) C(4) = C(13)	1.	360 (d 408 (7	n = 1	.412 (3)	1.415
C(5)-C(13)	1	407 (7	$\dot{n}$	423 (3)	1.414
C(5)-C(14)	1.	356 (7	ý ī.	375 (3)	1.373
C(5)-C(18)	1.	523 (7	<i>i</i> )		1.509
C(5)-C(19)			1	490 (4)	
N(6)-C(14)	1.	384 (6	() 1	-385 (3)	1.378
N(6) - C(1/)	1.	392 (1		-381 (3)	1.3/5
C(7) = C(8)	1.	373 (C 377 (S	5) I 2) I	386 (4)	1.308
C(8) = C(9)	1.	406 (8	8) 1	405 (4)	1.393
C(9) - C(10)	1.	381 (8	3) 1	375 (4)	1.374
C(10)-C(16)	1.	387 (8	3) 1	398 (4)	1.398
C(11)–C(12)	1.	396 (8	3) 1	•406 (4)	1.415
C(11)-C(15)	1.	374 (7	7) 1	·391 (3)	1.383
C(11) - C(19)		422 /-	n 1	·517 (4)	1.512
C(12) = C(13)	1	432 (1 442 (1	n + 1	·430 (3)	1.440
C(14) = C(15)	1.	442 ( <i>1</i> 441 (7	n 1	.448 (3)	1.443
C(16) - C(17)	1.	415 (7	ń i	.405 (3)	1.411
C(18)-C(19)	1.	526 (8	sý -		
C(19)-C(20)	1.	529 (8	3)		
C(20)-C(21)	1.	528 (8	3)		
C(9)-O(20)			1	•376 (3)	
O(20)C(21)			1	•434 (3)	
			(T	)	(II)
N(2) - C(1) - C(12)			125.9	, ) (7)	126.6 (2)
C(1)-N(2)-C(3)			116-2	(6)	115.8 (2)
N(2) - C(3) - C(4)			123-3	(6)	124.0 (2)
C(3)-C(4)-C(13)			121.5	(6)	120.5 (2)
C(13)-C(5)-C(14)			116-9	(6)	116-2 (2)
C(13)-C(5)-C(19)					122.6 (2)
C(13) - C(5) - C(18)			122.0	) (6) (6)	
C(14) = C(5) = C(18)			121.1	(0)	121.2(2)
C(14) - N(6) - C(17)			110.5	(5)	109.5 (2)
C(17)-C(7)-C(8)			117.8	(6)	119.0 (3)
C(7) - C(8) - C(9)			120.7	(6)	120.8 (3)
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)			121.1	(7)	120.6 (3)
C(8)-C(9)-O(20)					115.1 (2)
C(10)-C(9)-O(20)			110.7	(6)	124.3 (3)
C(12) - C(11) - C(15)			119.2	(6)	117.7 (2)
C(12)-C(11)-C(18)			117-5	(0)	$122 \cdot 2$ (2)
C(15)–C(11)–C(18)					120.1 (2)
C(1)-C(12)-C(11)			120-4	(7)	122.0 (2)
C(1)-C(12)-C(13)			117.1	(6)	116-2 (2)
C(11)-C(12)-C(13)			122.5	(6)	121.8 (2)
C(4) = C(13) = C(13)			124-4	(6)	122.6 (2)
C(5) - C(13) - C(12)			119.5	(0)	120.6 (2)
C(5)-C(14)-N(6)			129.2	(6)	128.4(2)
C(5)-C(14)-C(15)			124.1	(6)	123.9 (2)
N(6)-C(14)-C(15)			106.7	(5)	107.7 (2)
C(11)-C(15)-C(14)			119-4	(6)	119.8 (2)
C(11) - C(15) - C(16)			132-8	(6)	133.5 (2)
C(14) - C(15) - C(16)			107.7	(5)	106-7 (2)
C(10) - C(10) - C(13) C(10) - C(16) - C(17)			134-9	(i)	134.1 (2)
C(15)-C(16)-C(17)			106-5	(6)	106.4 (2)
N(6) - C(17) - C(7)			128-9	(7)	129.4 (2)
N(6)-C(17)-C(16)			108-5	(6)	109-6 (2)
C(7) - C(17) - C(16)			122.6	(6)	120-9 (2)
C(3) = C(18) = C(19)			111.6	(5) (5)	
C(10) - C(19) - C(20) C(10) - C(20) - C(21)			112.8	(5)	
C(9) = O(20) = C(21)			112.3	(3)	116-3 (2)
* Courseille Ducette	& U.a.	nital	(1074)	Average	acd's are
A DUD SELLE BUSELLA	1.4 11(15)		/ 4.1	AVELAVE	

632



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of 5-n-butyl-11-demethylellipticine.



Fig. 2. The molecular structure of 9-methoxyellipticine.

these parameters. The largest difference between (I) and (II) occurs in the exocyclic bond to C(5), which is some  $4\sigma$  longer in (I). The methoxy group attached at atom C(9) in (II) has produced no discernible effect on the bonding geometry of the ellipticine ring system, even though it may be presumed to have had some effect on its electronic properites. The exocyclic angles around

C(9) in (II) are markedly asymmetric, angle C(8)-C(9)-O(20) being some 9° smaller than C(10)-C(9)-O(20); this is presumably due to steric repulsions from the proton on C(10). The dihedral angle C(10)-C(9)-O(20)-C(21) is 9.0 (3)°. The ellipticine ring system in both compounds is planar within experimental error, as is the parent ellipticine itself. The n-butyl side chain in (I) adopts a staggered conformation, with the dihedral angles around bonds C(18)-C(19) and C(19)-C(20) 174.5 (9) and 178.7 (9)°, respectively.

This work has been supported by the Cancer Research Campaign (Grant Nos. SP1384 to SN and SP1317 to MS, and a Career Development Award to SN) and by SERC Research Studentship to AA.

#### References

- BANDYOPADHYAY, R. R. & BASAK, B. S. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, C-207.
- COURSEILLE, C., BUSETTA, B. & HOSPITAL, M. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2628-2631.
- FRENZ, B. A. (1980). Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, Holland.
- GALE, E. F., CUNDLIFFE, E., REYNOLDS, P. E., RICHMOND, M. H. & WARING, M. J. (1981). The Molecular Basis of Antibiotic Action. London: John Wiley.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JAIN, S. C., BHANDARY, K. K. & SOBELL, H. M. (1979). J. Mol. Biol. 135, 813-840.
- LE PECQ, J.-B., XUONG, N.-D., GOSSE, C. & PAOLETTI, C. (1974). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 71, 5078-5082.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J. P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN 80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- NEIDLE, S. (1979). Prog. Med. Chem. 16, 151-221.
- PAOLETTI, C., CROS, S., XUONG, N.-D. LECOINTE, P. & MOISAND, A. (1979). Chem. Biol. Interact. 25, 45-58.
- SAINSBURY, M., WEERASINGHE, D. & DOLMAN, D. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 587-590.

Acta Cryst. (1983). C39, 633-636

# Stereochemical Studies of Oligomers. III.\* The Structure of 2,3-Butanediyl Dibenzoate, $C_{18}H_{18}O_{4}$

## By GABRIELE BOCELLI

Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Via M. D'Azeglio 85, 43100 Parma, Italy

### AND MARIE F. GRENIER-LOUSTALOT

#### Institut Universitaire de Recherche Scientifique, Avenue Philippon, 64000 Pau, France

(Received 8 June 1982; accepted 27 January 1983)

Abstract.  $M_r = 298.3$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ ,  $a = 106.4 (2)^\circ$ ,  $V = 1540.2 \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.29 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ , 11.760 (2), b = 18.104 (2), c = 7.541 (1) Å,  $\beta = Cu K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å,  $\mu = 7.01$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, \* Part II: Bocelli & Grenier-Loustalot (1982b). F(000) = 632, T = 298 K,  $R_w = 0.038$  for 2281 in-dependent measured reflections. The two aromatic rings

0108-2701/83/050633-04\$01.50 © 1983 International Union of Crystallography